Ellipsometric characterization of nanocrystals in porous silicon
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Abstract

Porous silicon layers (PSLs) were prepared by electrochemical etching of p-type single-crystalline silicon (c-Si) wafers having different dopant concentrations to obtain systematically changing sizes of nanocrystals (walls). The microstructure of the porous material was characterized using spectroscopic ellipsometry with multi-layer effective medium approximation (EMA) models. The dielectric function of PSL is conventionally calculated using EMA mixtures of c-Si and voids. The porosity is described by the concentration of voids. Some PSL structures can be described only by adding fine-grained polycrystalline silicon (nc-Si) reference material to the EMA model. Modified model dielectric functions (MDF) of c-Si have been shown to fit composite materials containing nanocrystalline regions, either by fitting only the broadening parameter or also other parameters of the parametric oscillator in MDF. The broadening parameter correlates with the long-range order in the crystalline material, and, as a consequence, with the size of nanocrystals. EMA and MDF models were used to describe systematically changing nanostructure of PSLs. Volume fraction of nc-Si in EMA and broadening parameter in MDF provide information on the nanocrystal size. The longer-term goal of this work is to provide a method for the quantitative characterization of nanocrystal size using quick, sensitive and non-destructive optical techniques.
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1. Introduction

Microstructure of composite materials is modelled mostly by effective medium approximation (EMA) [1,2]. Composite materials include, among others, different kinds of layers prepared by deposition (for example, polycrystalline silicon, pc-Si) or etching (porous silicon layers, PSL). Some PSL structures can be modelled by mixing single-crystalline silicon (c-Si) and voids. pc-Si can be modelled with a similar model including c-Si, voids and amorphous silicon (a-Si) [3,4]. The latter accounts for the amorphous phase remaining in the structure. It has been revealed that certain types of PSL and pc-Si structures can be better fitted using fine-grained polycrystalline silicon (nc-Si [5]) in the EMA mixture [6,7]. It was assumed that nc-Si accounts for the increased number of grain boundaries in fine-grained structures, i.e. the vanishing long-range order in the crystalline material. Adachi et al. described the same phenomenon in ion implanted Si using model dielectric function (MDF) based on critical point (CP) calculations of c-Si [8,9]. Using MDF, decreasing long-range order is consistent with increasing broadening parameters of the CP oscillators. In the present work, we use PSL layers prepared by electrochemical etching of differently doped p-type c-Si substrate to obtain a model material of systematically changing nanocrystal (wall) sizes. The PSL layers were characterized using the EMA as well as the MDF approach.

2. Experimental

p-Type (1 0) Si samples with resistivities of $R = 0.001, 0.003, 0.010, 0.030, \text{ and } 0.090 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}$ (B concentrations ranging from $8 \times 10^{19}$ to $3 \times 10^{17} \ \text{cm}^{-3}$) were etched electrochemically using an electrolyte composition of 50% hydrofluoric acid and 100% ethanol with a ratio of 1:1. The layer thicknesses were around 500 nm. The structures depending on $R$ were measured by secondary electron microscopy (SEM).

The ellipsometric measurements were performed by a Woollam M-2000F rotating compensator ellipsometer in the wavelength range of 250–1000 nm in 481 points, at angles of incidence of 70°, 75° and 80°. Typical spectra are plotted in Fig. 1. Only every 10th measured points were plotted for the better visibility. In contrast to rotating polariser ellipsometers,
rotating compensator ellipsometers measure $\Delta$ directly, consequently $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ are plotted rather than $\tan \Psi$ and $\cos \Delta$.

3. Discussion

Fig. 2 shows SEM micrographs on fractures of PSL altering from columnar ($R = 0.001 \ \Omega \text{cm}$) through dendritic ($R = 0.003–0.010 \ \Omega \text{cm}$) to sponge-like ($R = 0.03–0.09 \ \Omega \text{cm}$) structure. The wall/crystallite sizes for $R = 0.001$, 0.003 and 0.010 $\Omega \text{cm}$ are 15–20, 10–15 and 7–10 nm, respectively. Resolution of SEM was too low to measure crystallite sizes for $R = 0.030$ and 0.090 $\Omega \text{cm}$, but based on literature data, they are assumed to decrease with increasing $R$ down to some nanometers [10,11].

Although SEM does not show a significantly different structure at the interfaces (see Fig. 3 for $R = 0.003 \ \Omega \text{cm}$), the fit improves considerably when modelling the surface of the PSL and the interface to the c-Si substrate. This is assumed to be caused by the surface and interface roughness, which is consistent with previous results [5,12]. The thickness of interface layers is typically 30–50 nm on both sides of PSL.

The fitted parameters for models of improving complexity are compiled in Table 1. Dielectric function of each sub-layer is calculated using the EMA composition of c-Si, nc-Si and voids. Note the large improvement in fit quality (mean square error, MSE) when adding component nc-Si to the single-layer model (Model 12). The fit improves further when taking into account the surface layer (Model 26) and also the interface layer (Model 39, see Fig. 1 for fitted spectra). The parameters do not present a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Layer 1</th>
<th>Layer 2</th>
<th>Layer 3</th>
<th>MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>c-Si</td>
<td>$d_1 = 338.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.43 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.17 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$d_2 = 29.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.44 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.34 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$d_3 = 313.0 \pm 1.1 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.48 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.21 \pm 0.01$, $f_n = 0.29 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>c-Si</td>
<td>$d_1 = 340.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.44 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.17 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$d_2 = 29.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.44 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.34 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>c-Si</td>
<td>$d_1 = 320.8 \pm 0.9 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.46 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.18 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$d_3 = 38.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.45 \pm 0.001$, $f_v = 0.29 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>c-Si</td>
<td>$d_1 = 19.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ nm}$, $f_c = 0.32 \pm 0.02$, $f_v = 2.22 \pm 0.23$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Measured and fitted ellipsometric spectra for $R = 0.003 \ \Omega \text{cm}$.

Fig. 2. SEM micrographs on fractures of PSLs.

Fig. 3. SEM micrograph on a fracture of PSLs for $R = 0.003 \ \Omega \text{cm}$. 
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strong correlation, not even in case of Model 39 with nine parameters. Fitted volume fractions of void \((f_v)\) are measured very sensitively without strong correlation with other parameters even for multilayer models, while correlation between fitted volume fractions of nc-Si \((f_n)\) are stronger but acceptable. 

\[ f_n, f_v, \text{ and MSE corresponding to Model 39 are shown in Fig. 4 as functions of } R. \]

The same dependence is found when calculating the integral of \(f_n\) taking into account the interfaces:

\[ f_n^S = (d_1 f_{n1} + d_2 f_{n2} + d_3 f_{n3})/(d_1 + d_2 + d_3), \]

using the notation of Table 1, see open symbols in Fig. 4. Note that \(f_n > 1\) for \(R > 0.030 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}\), i.e. \(f_c < 0\) (taking into account that \(f_c \sim 0.5\)). The physical background is shown in Fig. 5 by plotting the imaginary part of the complex dielectric function \(\varepsilon_2\) for EMA compositions of \(f_c = 0.5, f_n = x\), and \(f_c = 0.5 - x\), for \(x = 0 \rightarrow 1\). \(\varepsilon_2\) of c-Si is shown by dash-dotted line.

4. Conclusions

PSLs with crystallite sizes ranging from slightly above 20 nm down to some nanometers were prepared and used as model materials to test EMA and MDF models. The crystallite size revealed strong correlation with \(f_n\) and \(Br_{3.43}\) calculated using MDF [8,9]. Fig. 4 shows the broadening parameter \(Br_{3.43}\) of the CP at 3.43 eV calculated from the three-layer model, but using MDF in spite of EMA to determine the dielectric function of PSL. The parameterized dielectric function model of c-Si was used as starting point, fitting only the broadening parameter of the transition at 3.43 eV. The measured spectrum was restricted to 1.5–3.9 eV to suppress the influence of CP at 4.2 eV. The fit quality was the same as for the EMA model. \(Br_{3.43}\) increases rapidly with increasing \(R\) showing a similar behaviour as \(f_n\), except for the \(R = 0.001 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}\) sample. Note that MSE of EMA (Model 39) shows also an anomalous behaviour being significantly higher for \(R = 0.001 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}\) than for \(R \geq 0.003 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}\), which may be due to anisotropy caused by the columnar structure not taken into account by our model. A possible enhancement of the optical model is to take into account, at the same time, the anisotropy and the other parameters of the MDF. The good fit in cases of \(R \geq 0.003 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}\) indicates that anisotropy can be neglected, especially compared to high sensitivity to crystallite sizes.
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